

## **ENTRY OF AMENDMENTS**

The proposed amendments above should be entered by the Examiner because the amendment is supported by the as-filed specification and drawings and does not add any new matter to the application.

## **CONCLUSION**

Claims 1 through 48 are believed to be in condition for allowance, and an early notice thereof is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner determine that additional issues remain which might be resolved by a telephone conference, he is respectfully invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul C. Oestreich  
Registration No. 44,983  
Attorney for Applicants  
TRASKBRITT  
P. O. Box 2550  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550  
Telephone: (801) 532-1922

Date: June 13, 2002

PCO/csw:hlg:dn

Enclosure: Version of Replacement Paragraphs with Markings to Show Changes Made

N:\2181\4326\312 amendment.doc

## **VERSION OF REPLACEMENT PARAGRAPHS WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**

Please replace the paragraph bridging pages 4 and 5 with the following:

Pulse oximetry measurements typically use a digit, such as a finger, or an ear lobe or other element of the body, where blood flows close to the skin as the medium through which light energy is transmitted. The finger, for example, is composed of various tissues and substances including skin, fat, bone, muscle, blood, etc. The extent to which each of these biological tissues and substances attenuate incident electromagnetic energy is generally known. However, the effect of motion can cause changes in the optical coupling of the sensor (or probe) to the finger, the underlying physiology, the local vasculature, optical properties of tissues due to changing optical path length as well as combinations and interactions of [the ]all of the above. Thus, patient motion may cause erratic energy attenuation.

Please replace the first full paragraph on page 7 with the following:

Various approaches to removing motion artifacts from measured physiological signals, and particularly for use in pulse oximeters, have been proposed. U.S. Patent Nos. 5,482,036, 5,490,505, 5,632,272, 5,685,299, 5,769,785 and 6,036,642, all to Diab et al., and U.S. Patent No. 5,919,134 to Diab, disclose methods and apparatuses for removing motion artifacts using adaptive noise cancellation techniques. The basic proposition behind these Diab et al. patents is to first generate a noise reference signal from the two measured signals, and then use the noise reference signal as an input to an adaptive noise canceller along with either or both of the measured signals to remove the reference noise signal from the measured signals, thus approximating the actual parametric signals of interest. These Diab et al. patents appear to require the use of both measured input signals to generate a noise reference signal. Where the adaptive noise cancellation involves the use of a correlation canceller as disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,482,036, [addition]additional problems include significant computational overhead and under certain circumstances, the correlation canceller will drive the output signal to zero.

Please replace the third full paragraph on page 11 with the following:  
FIG. 2 is two [of ]graphs showing acquired IR and red data segments.